## **Cabinet**



Title of Report:  Report No:	Recommendation of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: 21 September 2016: Arrangements for Appointment of External Auditors  CAB/SE/16/049			
Report to and dates:	Cabinet	18 October 2016		
	Council	20 December 2016		
Portfolio Holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 07597 961069 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Chairman of the Committee:	Sarah Broughton Chairman of Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01284 787327 Email: sarah.broughton@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead Officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	On 21 September 2016, the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee considered Report No:  PAS/SE/16/021, which asked Members to consider the options available for appointing External Auditors in time to make the first appointment by 31 December 2017, following the closure of the Audit Commission, and the end of the transitional arrangements.			
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that subject to the approval of full Council, Option 3, to 'opt-in' to the sector led body (Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (PSAA)) for the independent appointment of the Council's External Auditor, beginning with responsibilities for the financial year 2018-2019, as set out in Report No: PAS/SE/16/021, be approved.			

<b>Key Decision:</b>		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?				
(Charlette a second state	Yes, it is	Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$				
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
that <b>do not</b> apply.)						
		• See	e Report No: PAS/SE/16/021			
Alternative option(s):		See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021				
Implications:						
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021			
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes □ No □			
yes, please give details			<ul> <li>See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021</li> </ul>			
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b>			Yes □ No □			
implications? If yes,	please give	•	<ul> <li>See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021</li> </ul>			
details						
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after		
	risk (before			controls)		
	controls)					
See Report No: PAS/SE/16/021						
Wards affected:		All Wards				
Background papers:						
(all background papers are to be		http://www.psaa.co.uk/supporting-the-				
published on the website and a link		transition/procurement-and-				
included)		appointment-of-auditors/				
Documents attached:			None			

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

- 1.1 The Committee received Report No: PAS/SE/16/021, which asked the Committee to consider options available for appointing External Auditors in time to make a first appointment by 31 December 2017, following the closure of the Audit Commission, and the end of the transitional arrangements.
- 1.1.2 It was the Council's statutory responsibility to appoint a local auditor to audit its 2018-2019 accounts by 31 December 2017. In meeting this statutory responsibility the Council could choose one of the following options:
  - 1) Establish a stand-alone Auditor Panel to make the appointment on behalf of the Council;
  - 2) Commence work on exploring the establishment of local joint procurement arrangements with neighbouring authorities; or
  - 3) Use an existing independent panel of the Authority (this would only be applicable where a suitably constituted plan already existed).
- 1.1.3 The report set out in detail the advantages and disadvantages for each of the three options; risk management; legal and financial implications.
- 1.1.4 The Council had until December 2017 to make an appointment, which meant one of the three options would need to be in place by Spring 2017, in order that the contract negotiation process could be carried out during 2017.
- 1.1.5 The Committee was advised that Option 3 would provide for better economies of scale in keeping audit fees down, and having a Suffolk Wide auditor.

## 1.2 **Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee**

- 1.2.1 The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee scrutinised the report in detail and asked a number of questions to which officers duly responded.
- 1.2.2 The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee has put forward a recommendation as set out on page one of this report.